

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/840,132	05/06/2004	David A. Giardino	CP-5165	7236	
75	90 06/21/2006		EXAM	INER	
Joseph J. Chris	Joseph J. Christian			CHUKWURAH, NATHANIEL C	
Schmeiser, Olse	en & Watts LLP				
Suite 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3 Lear Jet Lane			3721		
Latham, NY 12110			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	
(,	
C	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/840,132	GIARDINO, DAVID A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nathaniel C. Chukwurah	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 May 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 May 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Patent and Trademark Office Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments, see remark, filed 5/8/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-9 under Johnson have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Brandenberg.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brandenberg (US 3,732,934).

With regard to claim 1, the reference of Brandenberg discloses a control device (work control device, abstract) comprising a pressure regulator comprising a valve (62 check valve), and configured to limit a maximum pressure provided to the motor (10); a torque limiting timing device (restrictor 56) comprising at least one valve (28), configured and capable of shutting-off fluid flow to a motor (10) at a predetermined time by restricting the air flow to the motor (see col. 4, line 56), and in fluid communication with a pressure regulator (62 check valve); a reservoir (58) in fluid communication with the pressure regulator (62 check valve); and a valve (40) which adjusts the reservoir thereby controlling the pressure and the timing device (restrictor).

The reference of Brandenberg teaches a work control mechanism as shown in Figure 1 including a torque limiting timing device (col. 4, line 31) being in fluid communication with a pressure regulator (valve 28) for turning off fluid supply to the motor.

With regard to claim 2, the timing of the control device of Brandenberg includes user adjustable. See (manual restrictor 23).

With regard to claim 3, the control device of Brandenberg is capable of controlling a predetermined fixed time (see col. 1, lines 47-49).

With regard to claim 4, the pressure regulator of the control device of Brandenberg includes a valve (62).

With regard to claim 5, the torque limiting timing device of the control device of Brandenberg includes a shut-off valve (28).

With regard to claim 6, the control device of Brandenberg is releasably attachable to a tool (see Fig. 2).

With regard to claims 7 and 8, the control device of Brandenberg is modular and integral with the tool. See (col. 1, lines 19-21)

With regard to claim 9, the control device of Brandenberg is capable of being remote from the tool.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathaniel C. Chukwurah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NC

June 11, 2006.

Rinaldi I. Rada

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 4

Group 3700